

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. 602 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/424,300	03/13/2000	YOSHIKAZU KANEKO	Q56361	227799	
SUGHRUE MION ZINN			EXAMINER .		
	LVANIA AVENUE NW	•	PIZIALI, ANDREW T		
WASHINGTO	N, DC 200373213		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1775		
			DATE MAIL CD: 09/21/2003	DATE MAIL CD: 09/21/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A			
	Applicati n No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/424,300	KANEKO ET AL.			
,	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Andrew T Piziali	1775			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe					
THE REPLY FILED 06 August 2003 FAILS TO PLACE T Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to av final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	old abandonment of this applic	ation. A proper reply to a			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the content of	FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TI date on which the petition under 37 CF f extension and the corresponding amo he shortened statutory period for reply	rig date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP FR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension out of the fee. The appropriate extension			
1. ☐ A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>06 August 2003</u> . Ap 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR	nellant's Brief must be filed with	nin the maried and the			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	cause:	и пе арреат.			
(a) they raise new issues that would require further		see NOTE below):			
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cancelin	g a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.			
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .		• •			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	on(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be canceling the non-allowable claim(s).					
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reapplication in condition for allowance because: See	Continuation Sneet.				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becauraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s explanation of how the new or amended claims wou	e) a)⊠ will not be entered or b)[eld be rejected is provided below	☐ will be entered and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1			
Claim(s) allowed: <u>5-12</u> .					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a)	☐ approved or b)☐ disappro	oved by the Examiner			
Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
0.⊠ Other: Interview Summary Paper No. 18		lles onusne			
BEST AVAILA	BLE COPY SUPERIOR	DEBORAH JONES ISORY PATENT EXAMINER			

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)





Continuation of 2. NOTE:

The proposed amendment further limiting the steel wire to "in a cord" would require further consideration and/or search.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Due to the proposed amendment not being entered, applicant's arguments are not commensurate in scope with the current claims.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY